App. F. IX-4

Previous References

Letter References.

1936.

Previous

File No. 95-3

Serial Nos. 1-

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for August 1937.

SUBJECT.

Appeal (C) of Mr G. R. Joseph Mysore for cancellation of Marriages during Kirshnaraja mudi festival at Melkote

To Mr G. R Joseph Mysore
No 270 & 18-8-37.

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—20-8 36

Informing that there is no reason to interfere with the Mysore Commissioner's orders

G.R.S. III—37

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. No 14
6.7.36

Mys 25-36-1

Petition of 3.7.36 from Mr. E. R. Joseph of Mysore addressed to the Secretary to the Maharaja's Government praying for the issue of orders to restore to him certain marigades to which he is entitled on the occasion of Krishnarajanadi Festival at Melkote.

The note of the R.S. on the P. H. D's proposal: = Forwarded to the Controlling & the Maharaja Commission in Mysore for early ref.

Issued No 1088 of 13/7/36 to the Maharaja Commissioner

Petition of 3.7.36 from the same gentleman on the subject addressed to the First Member of Council

Petition of 6.7.36 The same gentleman

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. VII—s-III/38]

APPLICATION FOR A WARRANT UNDER ACT XV OF 1903, THE INDIAN EXTRADITION ACT.

---

1. Officer to whom the warrant should be issued.

2. Magistrate of the District who wants the warrant issued.

3. Name and description of accused person.

4. Where or under whose custody the accused person is supposed to be.

5. Name of the offence committed, and section of the Indian Penal Code under which the accused is charged

6. Officer to whom the accused should be surrendered

7. Place at which the accused should be delivered.

8. Whether the accused is a British subject, a subject of Mysore, or to which State he belongs.

MYSORE GOVT. GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT,

Bangalore..... 191

Secretary to Govt.

W D 02268—GPR—500—5-2-17

Serial No.

Submitting the Endorsement issued to him from the Muzrai Commissioner's Office in the matter?

2) P.W.D I is but a duplicate of the petn. which was been already sent to me for report.

3) Re: P.W.D II, Hind reply is invited to Muz dep 167 of 35 pour wh. The vernacular record has already said that there are no papers containing the mirup on which the petr relies upon for the mirasdars. The petr has ended copy of the mirup. If asked he will be called upon to furnish the mirup in original, or an authenticated copy of it for reference.

(Muz 7.)

Qs for recs — The copy of 16.7.36 the mirup sent is not an authenticated copy the petition is an appeal against the orders of the Muzrai Comr. A two-rupee court-fee stamp has to be affixed to it. (Vide clause 7 of schedule II of the Court Fees Regulation) May the petitioner be informed accordingly?

Yes
15/7/36

15-7-36

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 2594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

16.7.36

Issue 16/7/36

No 122 & 17.7.1936 from C. A. Josyer intimating that his petition should be only stamped with 2 As Court fee stamps —

Petitioning 20.7.1936 from Mr Josyer Mysore requesting that the petition only stamped.

A report has already been called for from the M.C. — For orders if the Mirasi original may be called in lieu of the Mirasi

The Mirasi Comm. may, if approved, be requested to submit a report with the connected records as regards the claim of the petitioner for an Acharya Purusha's post in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote. An Acharya Purusha has to repeat Tamil Prabandham or perform [illegible] as it is sometimes called. The Mirasi Comm. may also be requested to forward the connected records imposing this conditions on people claiming the honours of an Acharya Purusha in this temple. ~~may also be called for~~, if approved

Gen Secy

Report called for may be awaited

Inw 31/7

MINING SECTION 4 JUL 1936 GENL & REV. SECTT.

GENERAL DEPT. 27 JULY 1936 REC

Serial No.

Letter no H.C. 2/36-37 of 25-9-1936 from the Inspector Commissioner forwarding records connected with the prayer of Mrs. L. A. Joyce. Mysore —

Kind reference is invited to Mysore D.C.'s letter no H. 701/35 of 27-5-36 addressed to the M.C in the matter of Inamdes claimed by the petitioner — The Sunnad of 1827 on which the petitioner relies is flagged A — (~~also~~ The D.C. has recommended that the inamdes claimed by the petr may be granted & the orders of the M.C thereon are that the claim is a belated one & authentic records be recognised — [illegible] petr again approached the M.C. [illegible] recommending the orders passed by him. In this connection Kind reference is invited to the Mysore office note of which is as follows: —

The enforcement of the terms of the Sanad means a redistribution of the honoraria which are being enjoyed by the Swami Gulu of Sri Parakala Mutt & for other accounts & expenditure uninterrupted — The parties may not agree to this at this distance of time. As final orders have been passed by this office the petr may be advised to apply to Govt: — This has been done by the M.C.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

In this connection it is respectfully submitted that no action has been taken by the M.C. to find out whether the Swamigalu of Sri Parakala Mutt & other two Acharya purushas referred to above have any objection to a redistribution of the honoraria in view of the claim put forward by the petitioner & if so the grounds on which they base their objections. This aspect of the question will if asked, be asked to be pursued by the M.C. & the papers resubmitted for orders.

7 whether the honors of an acharyapurusha which is claimed by the appellant, on the ground that such honors were granted to his ancestor Gomatam Srinivasa Jooyer in a sanad granted by the maharaja Krishna-raja Wodeyar III. According to the rules of the temple, acharyapurushas have to perform திவ்ய ப்ரபந்தம், i.e., repeat the Tamil Prabandham known as நாலாயிரம். And this performance of this duty necessitates residence in Melkote. The Munsrai Comm. may be requested to ~~make~~ report whether this is not a fact and whether Mr. G. R. Jooyer is prepared to fulfill these

323 (6

Serial No.

conditions.

128/10-10-36. ~~[illegible]~~

15) The M.C. may if approved be asked to enquire whether the Swamijaku of Sri Parllala Mutt and the other four acharyapurushas who are enjoying the rights uninterruptedly have any objection in view of the claim of the petitioner, as it would mean redistribution of the honoraria

2/10/10/36

Sen Secy)

16) Is the nirupa genuine? Who has verified this and accepted the doc.t as genuine? Is there refce to a copy of it in the temple records?

17) Is there any evidence of the manyada having been shown to this family at any time? When did the fourth person come into the list of those to whom the manyada shd be shown? The records in that connection might throw light on this case also.

2/12/10/

The detailed refce is invited to the Amildar's report of 4-5-36 from which it is clear that the Poshakas [illegible]

x 0

Deputy to submit a report on the petn of Mrs Josyer in the light of ~~records~~ refers available among the temple records - viz extracts of Sanad etc furnished by him - Hag Q to M. The Amildars report is merely based on these refs & it goes to show that the ancestors of the petr were entitled to the Mangades prayed for & that the latter be continued in the case of the petr. He has not been able to say when the mangades ceased to be given to the family & why they have not been claimed all along - Records received on the subject are silent as to the verification if any made by the local officers - Dfsh calling for additional information is filed —

19. Ins.
2
17/11/36

1/11

No. 627 of 4.11.36 to the Mysore Commissioner calling for additional information. 4

Petition of 287 S/37 from Mrs G. R. Josyer - Mysore praying for the issue of orders re: certain mangades to which he is entitled on the occasion of Krishna-Raja Wadi festival. Defaulted.

Post 5/11/36

P. to D.T

of Sri G. R. Josyer to certain ads in Mettur Krishnarajanagar

R P. 4. B 11

Serial No.

20

D. No 1172 – per addressed to the Gen Sec is a duplicate of P.U.D.1. The receipt of further information to the records called for from the M.C. will be awaited, if approved. Reminders are being issued – ~~No action is called for this~~ The receipt of a further communication from the M.C. ~~for general~~ awaited? Submitted for orders.

G.S. 31/5/37

31.5.37. 21 Place this a reminder to the M.C. to furnish the report, and the file to be submitted for the perusal of the Gen Secy.

2
31/5/

TYPING SECTION 3 JUN 1937 GEN'L S

22. Dfd in – 3

G.S. 2/6/37

22 Ins

2
2/6/

Memo No 1618 of 3.6.37 to the Mettur Commissioner calling for an explanation submission of the report referred to therein.

G.S. 8/6/37

24 Resulted as noted in para 21. The report called for from the Mettur Comm. has since been received. The file be submitted with the report, if approved.

M. Early
2
8/6/

4.6.37.

NOTE – Contn Sheet

Letter no 2/36-37 of 4.6.37
from the Mysore Commissioner submitting records furnishing information called for

17 Kind ref^ce is invited to the Peshkar's report of 4.5.37; Copy of the sirap furnished by the pre^sent is stated to be in the temple record, & as such it may be taken as a genuine one. The pre^sent's ancestor appears to have received the Manyam (non-claimed) only during the year "Khara" corresponding to 1831 AD. In para 3 of the report the Peshkar says that the temple office has no records to bear out that the said Manyam or Inam shows to the ancestors of the pre^sent either prior to or subsequent to the year 1831 AD (Khara).

18 In the Sthanadu account for 1831 (Khara Samvatsara) the number of recipients of stipends etc as Sammukha Vidwans is reported ~~stated~~ to be as follows:—

1) Srinivasachar
2) Sriramachar
3) Ramachar
4) Gomatam Srinivasa Jyotsyar
5) Thathachar

19 It is further reported that ever since the descendants of (1), (2) (3) and (5) have been continued in the enjoyment of these honors.

× B.
× Q

Certain Mangadas
to the centre at Melkote

Serial No.

For over 100 years the members of Srinivasa Jogeyer's family are not found enjoying the honors now claimed by the petr. As such it is a matter for consideration whether the petr is entitled to any of the Mangadas at this distance of time which were never enjoyed by his father & grandfather —

30) Did Witness refer to any?

Yes
No

31) The opinion of D. C. Mysore in his letter dated 25 5 37 is for perusal. The first point for consideration is whether the 1/4th of the Sirupa furnished by the petitioner is Semine, and on this point the opinion of the Peshkar is clear, and as such it is to be presumed that the Sirupa is a Semine and further it is seen from the Peshkars opinion that the petitioners ancestors have claimed the Maryadas in the year 1861 Why the said Maryadas were not claimed by the ancestors of the petitioner since then is to be considered, and on this point the petitioner in his letter dated 8rd July 1936 states inter alia to family vicissitudes, his father not going to Melkote for a long time

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 0417 -GPB—30,000 -8 9 36

aim of Mr. S.R. Jolayer - for certain marriage in Krishna Festival at Melkote -

File No. 95 of 1936 | General and R Secretariat

Serial No.

that their family Maryadas were not availed of by them. The point for consideration now is whether the question of the Maryadas to the petitioner can be revived and the Maryadas restored to the petitioner at this distance of time. The M.C. is of opinion that the claim is too belated to be recognised now. Further it is also to be considered in case the prayer of the petitioner is granted, and as the cost of the Maryadas will have to be met out of the Tadik amount of the Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote whether it would not be necessary to modify G.O. dated 4th April 1904, and 29th July 1907. about the grant of allowances to other Acharyapurushas.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 25 JAN 1937 3600

2/25/61.

Sen Govt.

32) The Muzrai Commr's Office note that the marriage was discontinued under orders — Vide Mr Ananda Rao's note of 13/6/36 What are the papers from which that information is gathered? Please flag them.

15 2/3 1937

33) It is said that the references quoted in the note of 13.6.36 of the Asst to the M.C. have been duly flagged. ~~All these are only~~

1208A

Claim of Mr G. R. Joseyr. Mysore, for certain Manyade of Krishnarajmudi et Sava at Melkote.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Misc. File No. 95 of 1936 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

314 Mr. Anandaharaiyar is based on the information contained in extracts of Jewish records submitted by the Deputation (Flags 2 & 4). The relevant extracts marked A, B, C.

Sir, for perusal — 13/7

395 — 20.7.37

Mr G R Joseyr claims the Manyade on the basis of a sirosp issued to his great-grandfather (?) by HH Mummadi Krishnarajà Wadiyar.

The sirosp seems to be genuine. The Manyade was shown to the original grantee one year — Khara, 1830 31. When the commission took over the administration of the state these honours, seem all to have been kept in abeyance for some time and restored later. The original grantee in this case however seems to have died by that time and his son being young did not immediately come into the honour. After some years he seems to have applied for the restoration of the honour and the Supdt of the Ashtagram division seems

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

W D 0417—CPB—80,000—8 9 36

13)

Mr G. R. Josyer's representation for certain marriages in Krishnaraja festival at Melkote

Mag 3 File No. of 1936-7 General and Secretariat

Serial No.

asked the Amildar for a report but it does not appear if a report was made. There is no further reference to this matter in the Temple records. Mr Josyer's application last year revived the claim after about 85 years.

37) The DC Mysore St, recommending the genuineness of the nirup, recommended the grant of the honour. The Maur. Cont. thought that the honour had ceased to be enjoyed for a long time and refused to revive it.

38) Mr Josyer has appealed to Govt

39) The nirup being accepted as genuine the question is whether the honour can be denied on the ground of long desuetude. It would perhaps be difficult to answer this question definitely in the affirmative but it would appear in this case that it is not merely desuetude but also ~~temporary~~ ~~cessation~~ discontinuance under orders ~~and~~ which have not been withdrawn later. The discontinuance was by the Commission administration, it is true (i.e., the grant was by H H the maharaja) not perhaps until modified, the order passed by

Joseph's representation for certain Manyadas in Krishnarajanagar Festival at Melkote.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Misc File No. of 1936-37 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
6 AUG. 1937
No. 50

The Commissioner stood and when it could have been modified this was not done. The temporary order of discontinuance has thus continued for over a hundred years. Considering that the honour was enjoyed for just one year, so far as can be seen from the records, that it was discontinued temporarily under orders and was not restored later, and that over eighty years have passed since the last request for restoration was made by the son of the original grantee, the order passed by the Mr C. Deems in substance the only proper order

40) Mr Joseph's request to modify that order can perhaps be not refused.

5/8/37

First Member

41) I agree

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Muz abbl 229/36-37

File No. 95 of 1936.

General and Revenue Secretariate

Serial No.

GS 17/1 17.9.37.

No 270 of 18/8/37 to the G. R. Jodhpur 6 intimating that Government see no reason to interfere with the sovereign Muzzam Commissioner in the matter -

Memo no 271 of 18.8-37 to the Muzzam Commissioner forwarding copy of the above —

B 26/8/37

25/8

G.S.

M.A., F.R.E.S., F.M.U.,
HISTORIQUE ET HERALDIQUE DE FRANCE, PARIS.
ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE, OF BRITAIN
MYSORE MERCHANTS' CHAMBER
MYSORE JOURNALISTS ASSOCIATION
MYSORE OF AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION
Hdt All India Dussara Exhibitor Assocn

Mz 85-36-1

MYSORE, 3rd July 1936.

GENL SECRETARIAT
6 - JUL 1936
MUZRAI

14

To

The Secretary to Government,

Muzroi Section, Public Offices, Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

By a Sannad dated 26-7-1827 H.H.Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar III, has graciously granted certain Maryadas on the occasion of the Krishnarajamudi Festival at Melkote to four or five families including mine. Owing to family vicissitudes, my father had not been going to Melkot for a long time, and our Maryadas at Krishnaraja Mudi have fallen into desuetude.

I first came to know of the matter in last December, and represented to the Muzroi Commissioner to kindly consider H.H.The Maraja's Sannad, and order the Maryadas to my family as to the other four, Sosale Jodidar, Ukkaligere Jodidar and two others. He referred the matter to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, who after detailed enquiry has recommended that the interval of cessation may be condoned and Maryadas restored as per the Niroop. But instead of referring the matter to Government for sanction with his recommendation, the Muzroi Commissioner has sent me an endorsement the the claim is belated.

I beg to submit to Government that there is no time bar or limitation to H.H.Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar's Niroop, that H.H.is the founder and Donor of the Krishnarajamudi Festival, that in the case of Sri ParakalMutt and generally, Government have rightly held that the fact of a Maryada having stopped for a time owing to party cliques or local officials' vagaries does not nullify the Royal Niroop.

I have also to submit that I am not asking at all for arrears of emoluments for the period of cessation, and that no fresh

G. R. JOSYER, M.A., F.R.E.S., F.M.U.,
HON. MEMBER, INSTITUT HISTORIQUE ET HERALDIQUE DE FRANCE, PARIS.
MEMBER, ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE, GT. BRITAIN
PRESIDENT, MYSORE MERCHANTS' CHAMBER
" MYSORE JOURNALISTS' ASSOCIATION
" MYSORE GT. AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION
Vice-Presidt. All-India Dussara Exhibitors' Assocn

MYSORE, INDIA.

MUZRAI COMMR. Hc 2/36-37 14 JUL. 1936 449

grant is necessary even for current Maryadas. If Government are pleased to condone the delay in my application and sanction the ~~xxxxxx~~ resumption of the Maryadas, the Deputy Commissioner would adjust out of the existing allotment for Maryadas.

I beg to submit that the Sannad of His Highness is genuine, that it cannot lapse as long as the Temple and the Sannad-dar's family continue to exist, that I am the original Sannad-dar's direct representative, and that according to another Sannad of His Highness granted to my ancestor I am one of the "Acharya-Purushas" of the Melkote Temple.

I have been trying to serve the State selflessly in many ways, and do not deserve that the Maryada granted to my family by the Ruler and Donor by a special Sannad should be annulled on the doubtful technical point, i.e. whether the Niroop ceases to be valid if there is a break in continuity.

I pray that Government may be pleased to view the matter favourably and order the ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ restoration of the Maryadas. The festival is annual, and occurs on the 10th inst. Would it please Government to order in time for this year? I beg to remain,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

G R Josyer

P.S. The records are with the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.

Memo No 108/Muzrai dt 13-7-36.

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore for favour of early report.

4/7/36.

For Genl Secy. to Govt.

447

H.C. 2/36-37

Memo

Forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Mysore District. for furnishing records returned with this office memo no D2 Dis 1191/35-36, dt 26-6-36 & a report on the points urged in the petn.

for Mysore Commissioner
ack A 17-7-36

17/7

H.54/36

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER 23 JUL 1936 MYSORE

Memo H.54/36

Forwarded to the Sub-division office Gundlupet, in continuation of this office memo no D.H. 701/35 dated 28/7/36 & 6.7.36 for report with records early.

455
P.
22.7.36
A3

for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

V.C.L.

Amendment

Vide A G. 608/65 & memo

Recd 6-8-36

Mons. Clerk Revenues.

Forwarded to the Revenue of Nanjangud Taluk in continuation of this office memo no A G. 608/65 dated 27.7.1965 for expd. with records early.

for on the
Deputy Commissioner

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER 6 - SEP 1965 MYSORE DISTRICT

VERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

19

Dated, Bangalore, 17th July 1936.

No. 122/Muz
Enclosures

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

G.R.Josyer,Esq., M.A.,F.R.E.S. F.M.U
President, Mysore Journalists Association,
Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letters dated 3rd July 1936 and 6th July 1936 in the matter of certain maryadas claimed by you on the occasion of the Krishnarajamudi Festival at Melkote, I am directed to inform you that a Court Fee Stamp of the value of Rs. 2/- should be affixed to the petition as it is an appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner.

The two petitions with enclosures are herewith returned for retransmission after needful being done.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Govt.

c.n.2

Diary No. of letter replied to ..

General and Revenue Secretariat

Date of issue .... 17th July 36

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

To

Mr. G. R. Joyce Esq. M.A. F.R.S.E.
J.M.U.
Pol. Mysore Journalists' Association – Mysore.

Your letters dated 3.7.36 and 6.7.36 in the matter of certain marriages claimed by you on the occasion of the Shivaratri festival at Nellodi, I am directed to inform you that a Court Fee Stamp of the value of Rs 2/- should be affixed to the petition as it is an appeal.

If you wish the order of the Hon'ble Minister granting the honorary registration on the petition or an authenticated copy of it may be sent. The Hon'ble Minister for retransmission I have the honour to be, Sir, Your most obedient servant, after necessary being done

(illegible) 17/7

G. R. JOSYER, M.A., F.R.E.S.,
MEMBER, ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE,
FELLOW OF THE MYSORE UNIVERSITY,
HON. SECRETARY, DT. CENTRAL CO-OP. BANK LTD.,
VICE-PRESIDENT, MYSORE DT. AUTOMOBILE ASSOCN.,
PRESIDENT, DODDYACHANHALLI INAMDAR'S ASSOCN.,
AUTHOR
"Sociology," "Tippu Sultan,"
"Problem of Wealth," "Brahmanophobia,"
"Andrew Carnegie," "Trade," "Arya Mahila Keerti,"
"Lady Teazle."

MYSORE, INDIA.
20th July 1936.

GEN. & REV. SEC.
24. JUL. 1936
95
MUZR-IB

The General Secretary to Government,
Public Offices, BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

I beg to resubmit the petition duly stamped as per your letter dated the 17th inst. In doing so I beg leave to add that even in the Chief Commissioner's days the Niroops of H.H.Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar were treated with respect, that I believe that even His present Highness's Government invariably accord the Niroops respect and interpret them liberally, that the Muzroy Department exists in order to maintain the Niroops and Ayakats, and that it is highly objectionable for the Muzroy Department to reject the Niroop on a specious plea and treat it as a scrap of paper: the more so, since my claim does not conflict with that of Sri Parakala Mutt or any other respectable body, and does not mean any extra expenditure to Government either. A sum of about Rs.500 is now being divided among 4 Maryadasthas,-- Sosle Jodidar, Ukkalagere Jodidar, and two others. The Niroop of my family would mean that the amount should be divided among 5 instead of 4 persons. It is a small matter, and for its sake the Muzroy Commissioner should not have treated His Highness's Niroop so lightly when it costs Government nothing. Therefore I have been obliged to submit the matter for Government's benign consideration.

I beg to remain

Your Most Obedient Servant,

G. R. Josyer

Mag appl 2204

MYSORE, INDIA

3rd July 1936.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
12
6 - JUL. 1936
MAIN BRANCH

S.P.Rajagopalachar, Esq, B.A., B.L.

First Member of Council, Bangalore.

Dear and Esteemed Sir,

By a Sannad dated 26-7-1827 H.H.Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar 111, has graciously granted certain Maryadas on the occasion of the Krishnarajamudi Festival at Melkote to four or five families including mine. Owing to family vicissitudes, my father had not been going to Melkot for a long time, and our Maryadas at Krishnaraja Mudi have fallen into deseutude.

I first came to know of the matter in last December, and represented to the Muzroi Commissioner to kindly consider H.H.The Maraja's Sannad, and order the Maryadas to my family as to the other four, Sosale Jodidar, Ukkaligere Jodidar and two others. He referred the matter to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, who after detailed enquiry has recommended that the interval of cessation may be condoned and Maryadas restored as per the Niroop. But instead of referring the matter to Government for sanction with his recommendation, the Muzroi Commissioner has sent me an endorsement that the claim is belated.

I beg to submit to Government that there is no time-bar or limitation to H.H.Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar's Niroop, that H.H.is the founder and Donor of the Krishnarajamudi Festival, that, in the case of Sri ParakalMutt, and generally, Government have rightly held that the fact of a Maryada having stopped for a time owing to party cliques or local officials' vagaries does not nullify the Royal Niroop.

I have also to submit that I am not asking at all for arrears of emoluments for the period of cessation, and that no fresh

P.T.O.

G. R. JOSYER, M.A., F.R.E.S.,
MEMBER, ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE,
FELLOW OF THE MYSORE UNIVERSITY,
HON. SECRETARY, DT. CENTRAL CO-OP. BANK LTD.,
VICE-PRESIDENT, MYSORE DT. AUTOMOBILE ASSOCN.,
PRESIDENT, GODYACHANHALLI INAMDAR'S ASSOCN.,
AUTHOR
"Sociology," "Tippu Sultan,"
"Problem of Wealth," "Brahmanophoebia,"
Andrew Carnegie," "Trade," "Arya Mahila Keerti,"
"Lady Teazle."

MYSORE, INDIA.

GENL. & REV. SECRET. 38 10 JUL. 1936 MUZRAI

To
The General Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Section,
Bangalore

Dear Sir,

7 JUL 1936

In continuation of my petition dated the 3rd inst regarding certain Maryadas granted by H.H. Sri Krishnarajawadiyar III, at the Krishnarajamudi Festival at Melkote, I beg to enclose herewith the endorsement received from the Muzrai Office as well as copy of the Nirupa of H.H. the Maharaja, for favour of information.

I beg to remain
Your most obedient Servant,
G.R. Josyer

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

No. 1191
3 S.A.

Dated Bangalore, [illegible] 193[illegible]

Endorsement *granted to* [illegible]

Petition Register No. [illegible]

Dated [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] *in reply*

ಪ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರ ನಡೆಯುವರು ——

ಸಹಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮತ್ತು ೩ ಸಲ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಗ್ರಾಹಕರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಠೇವಣಿಯದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸುವುದರ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಆಗಾಗ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಠೇವಣಿಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮನ ಮುಟ್ಟಿತೆ ಗೊಂದಲಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯಾಗಿ ಆಗಾಗ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರುಗಳಿಗೆ ನಡೆದ ಭಾಗವಾಗಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ಬಿದ್ದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರ ತೆರೆಮರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ - ಗ್ರಾಮಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ - ರಂಗಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ - ಹೀಗೆ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಅಲ್ಪಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಯಾವುದೇ ಹಿಂಜರಿಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಪ್ರಚಲಿತದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗಾಗಿದೆ -- ಠೇವಣಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಸಿಗದೆ ಸರಕಾರಿ ನಿಯಮಾನುಸಾರ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಾಗದೆ - ನಿರ್ಧಾರವಾಗದೆ -- ಇಷ್ಟೆಲ್ಲ ಹೀಗೆ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವದು ರಾಜ್ಯಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಸ್ವಾಭಾವಿಕ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನು ನೇರ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರುಗಳೇ ಯಾರಬೆಂಬಲಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವದು ಯಾ ಸರಕಾರದ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಠೇವಣಿದಾರರ ಹಿತಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ರಕ್ಷಣೆಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿದ್ದನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಧರ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಕಾಣ್ಣು ಕೊಡುವದು — ಅಕ್ಕಪಕ್ಕದ ಸಂಘದ ಚುಕ್ಕಿ ನೆಗ್ಗುವಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಅರಸುವಿಕೆ ಕಾಣುವಾಗ ಇದನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಹಕರು - ಯಾಂತ್ರಿಕ ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರೂ ಪ್ರಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಆಸೆಪಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಷ್ಟೆ

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
323
26 SEP. 1936

27

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 24th September *1936.*

25

Muz 95-36 3

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., I.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENL. 25 SEP. 1936

SIR,

Claim of Mr. G. R. Josyer for Mariyades during Krishnarajamudi Utsava at Melkote.

With reference to your Memo No.108/Muz. dated 13-7-1936 forwarding for report a petition re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith the records received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, with his letter No.H.54/36 dated 15th September 1936 together with this office files Nos.D2 Dis.1191/35-36 dated 26-6-1936 and D2 Dis 29/36-37 dated 16-7-1936, which explain the facts of the case in sufficient detail.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

K V Anantaraman

Muzrai Commissioner.

Section Miz

File No. 95-36

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 323

No. 627 Inc 3 file

To

The M.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 4-11-36

Signed by Sd/- Cassdar Hussain

Sir,

With ref^ce to your letter no. H.C. 2/36-37 of 25-9-36 in the matter of the claim of Mr. G. R. Josyer for certain Mangadas during Krishnarajendra Mudi utsava at Melkote, I am directed to request you to be so good as to state whether the signature relied on by the petitioner is genuine, who has seen it prior to this and accepted the document as genuine. Further I am to request you to kindly state whether there is any evidence of these Mangadas or similar grants

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

been shown to this family at any time & when actually the fourth person came into the list of those to whom the marriages should be shown. The records in that connexion might throw light on the instant case also & a detailed on the several points raised is requested.

The records received are herewith returned for reference & retransmission.

I have etc

7/11/76

Forsyth

GS
1/11

30

REVENUE SECRETARIAT
980.
APR. 1937

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ... 8 - 4 - 1937.

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
10 APR. 1937

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.H.54/36-37 dated 25-3-37 reporting on the claim of Mr. G.R. Josyer for mariyades during Puri Ustava at Melkote, I have the honor to observe that the information furnished is incomplete inasmuch as the point raised in the General Secretary's letter as to when actually the fourth person came into the list of those to whom the mariyades should be shown has not at all been answered for the records sent for reference. This should be done now and further report sent together with information in the form of a kathi referred to in your letter i.e. an authentic document that it has been signed and sealed by any responsible official. The corresponding Christian year for '20' mentioned therein may also be intimated.

The enclosures are returned.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant,
[illegible] AR,
For Muzrai Commissioner.

No. H.2/36-37
Encl: one.

Copy of the above with a copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, General Department, for information.

M. N. Anantaraman
For Muzrai Commissioner.

The receipt of a further report is awaited.
14 APR 1937.

For
15/4/37

54/36-37 dated 25-3-37 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Claim of Mr. G. R. Josyer for Mariyades during Sri Krishnaraja Mudi Utsavam at Melkote.

With reference to your No.H. .2/36-37 dated 7th November 1936 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the file of records received with letter No.2739 dated 18th March 1937 from the Huzur Secretary stating that the copy of the Nirup relied upon by My. Josyer cannot be verified as the are no records forthcoming in his office of the period to which it relates. However, it may be seen from the reports of the peshkar of the temple and Amildar, Seringapatam Taluk, that Mr. Gomatam Srinivasachar was along with the four Acharya Purushas shown certain mariyades during the period commencing from "[illegible]" as per Jamakarch Patti. The extract thereof is enclosed in the records.

"COPY"

M. N. Anandaiyar

For Muzrai Commissioner.

MYSORE.INDIA.
28th May 1937.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
29 MAY 1937

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
1171
31. MAY 1937
MUZRAI BRANCH

Dear & Esteemed Sir,

A little prior to last year's Krishnarajamudi Festival, on 3-7-36, I submitted an application for review of an order of the Muzroi Commissioner. I hoped then that you might be pleased to pass orders for last year's function. Unfortunately for me, routine intervened, and though this year's function is approaching, by the way that the papers are being buffeted from office to office, chance of early settlement seems remote.

I beg leave to state the facts briefly.

By a Sannad dated 26-7-1827, H.H. The Maharaja granted to my great-grand-father, who was already an Acharya Purusha of the Melkote and other temples, certain shawl and ration maryadas on the occasion of the newly founded Krishnarajamudi festival. That Sannad is recorded in the Temple.

On the Temple authorities delaying compliance, His Highness called for an explanation. That also is in the records.

Then the Sannad was given effect to.

Soon after, the British Commission came, the Sannaddar died leaving my grand-father a 3 year old orphan, and his and my father's later appeals to local authorities as the Sannaddar's heirs have not been heeded.

I came to know of this accidentally when looking over some old papers in December 1935, and presuming that I was doing the correct thing, I placed the matter before the present Muzroi Commissioner.

He,however,against all Muzroi principles and precedents,was pleased to reject the application as"belated"!

The order is unjust because I can quote at least 2 instances in which Sannads have been given effect to,for the first time after 100 years of their issue:-

1). In the case of one Mr.Narsimha Pandit,a Nayinda astrologer of Mysore,a Sannad,over 100 years old,and which was never in force,was given effect to about 1918 or so.

2). In the Sri Parakala Mutt"Panyara case" , a Sannad of 1911 or so,was sanctioned by the Amildar himself for the first time after 100 years of ~~kks~~ its issue,and higher authorities including the present Muzroi Commissioner have upheld it.

In contrast to these,the Sannad on which I rely,which was reinforced by a reminder from His Highness himself, and which was also enjoyed by the Sannaddar,has been disallowed as "belated"!

I plead for a review of the order, because it is against precedent, against justice ,creates a wrong precedent,and also because it involves no cost whatever to Government,as it is to be covered by the existing provision.

The best part of my life is over,and I have spent it without troubling Government for any kind of favour or recognition. I press the present matter before Government,because a Sannad of Sri Mummadi Krishnaraja Wadiyar should not be brushed asise by any Muzroi Commissioner however powerful,and because I owe it to the memory of my ancestors to recover what they had earned,like Bhagiratha's attempts for the salvation of his ancestors; and the effort has become almost like Bhagiratha's! Whether the end will be like Bhagiratha's ,or Haile Silassie's , ~~remains~~ rests with Government's sense of justice,and consideration for the memory of Sri Mummadi Krishnaraja Wadiyar! I pray for a just order, and remain

Your most obedient servant,

G R Joshyer

Ajamanda Praveena
S P Rajagopalachar, Esq, B.A. B.L.
First Member of Council
Bangalore

R. JOSYER, F.R.E.S.,
ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE,
FELLOW OF THE MYSORE UNIVERSITY,
HONORARY SECRETARY, MY. CENTRAL COOP. BANK LTD.,
MYSORE MY. AUTOMOBILE ASSOCN.,
MANAGER, GUDYACHANNALLI INAMDAR'S ASSOCN.,
AUTHOR
"Sociology," "Tippu Sultan,"
"Problem of Wealth," "Brahmanophobia,"
"Andrew Carnegie," "Trade," "Arya Mahila Keerti,"
"Lady Tearle."

GENERAL SECRETARY
31 MAY 1937

MYSORE, INDIA.
28th May 1937.

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
1172
31 MAY 1937
MUZRAI BRANCH

Dear Sir,

A little prior to last year's Krishnarajamudi Festival, on 3-7-36, I submitted an application for review of an order of the Muzrai Commissioner. I hoped then that you might be pleased to pass orders for last year's function. Unfortunately for me, routine intervened, and though this year's function is approaching, by the way that the papers are being buffeted from office to office, chance of early settlement seems remote.

I beg leave to state the facts briefly.

By a Sannad dated 26-7-1827, H.H. The Maharaja granted to my great-grand-father, who was already an Acharya Purusha of the Melkote and other temples, certain shawl and ration maryadas on the occasion of the newly founded Krishnarajamudi festival. That Sannad is recorded in the Temple.

On the Temple authorities delaying compliance, His Highness called for an explanation. That also is in the records.

Then the Sannad was given effect to.

Soon after, the British Commission came, the Sannaddar died leaving my grand-father a 3 year old orphan, and his and my father's last appeals to local authorities as the Sannaddar's heirs have not been hearkened.

I came to know of this accidentally when looking over old papers in December 1936, and presuming that I was doing the correct thing, I placed the matter before the present Muzrai Commissioner.

He, however, against all Muzroi principles and precedents, was pleased to reject the application as "belated"

The order is unjust because I can quote at least 2 instances in which Sannads have been given effect to, for the first time after 100 years of their issue:-

1). In the case of one Mr. Narsimha Pandit, a Nayinda astrologer of Mysore, a Sannad, over 100 years old, and which was never in force, was given effect to about 1918 or so.

2). In the Sri Parakala Mutt "Panyara case", a Sannad of 1911 or so, was sanctioned by the Amildar himself for the first time after 100 years of its issue, and higher authorities including the present Muzroi Commissioner have upheld it.

In contrast to these, the Sannad on which I rely, which was reinforced by a reminder from His Highness himself, and which was also enjoyed by the Sannaddar, has been disallowed as "belated"!

I plead for a review of the order, because it is against precedent, against justice, creates a wrong precedent, and also because it involves no cost whatever to Government, as it is to be covered by the existing provision.

The best part of my life is over, and I have spent it without troubling Government for any kind of favour or recognition. I press the present matter before Government, because a Sannad of Sri Mummadi Krishnaraja Wadiyar should not be brushed aside by any Muzroi Commissioner however powerful, and because I owe it to the memory of my ancestors to recover what they had earned, like Bhagiratha's attempts for the salvation of his ancestors: and the effort has become almost like Bhagiratha's! Whether the end will be like Bhagiratha's, or Haile Silassie's, rests with Government's sense of justice, and its consideration for the memory of Sri Mummadi Krishnaraja Wadiyar! I pray for a just order, and remain

Your most obedient servant,

G R Josyer

N. Venkatesa Iyengar Esq MA
General Secretary to Government
Bangalore

HC 2 / 36-37 Ques & Files

36

GENL SECRETARIAT 1206A 7 - JUN. 1937 BCH

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 3rd June 1937.

Muz 95-36-5

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY 5 JUNE 1937

SIR,

Claim of Mr. G. R. Josyer for Mariyades during Krishnarajamudi Utsava at Melkote.

With reference to your letter No.627/Muz.95-36-4 dated 4th November 1936 and in continuation of this office Memo No.H.C.2/36-37 dated 9th April 1937 re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.H.54/36-37 dated 25th March 1937 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, furnishing information on the points raised.

This office files Nos.D2 Dis.1191/35-36 dated 26th June 1936 and D2 Dis.29/36-37 dated 16th July 1936 which explain the facts of the case and the connected vernacular records received from the Deputy Commissioner, are forwarded herewith for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

K. V. Anantaraman

Muzrai Commissioner.

Subject:- Claim of Mr. G. R. Josyer for Mariyades during Krishnarajamudi Utsavam at Melkote.

With rererence to your letter No.H.C.2/36-37 dated 9th April 1937 on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the required information is furnished in detail in the Peshkar's report enclosed in the records. It is state that in the year "ನಿಷಧಕ" corresponding to 1827 A.D. a fresh Nirup appears to have been received to include the name of Gomatam Srinivasa Josyer in the list of Samukha Vidwans entitled to receive stipends etc., equally along with the three existing Samukha Vidwans who were entitled to special stipends, mariyade etc., during Krishnaraja Tirunal Utsavam. According to the Jamakarch Karadu relating the year "ಶ" corresponding to 1831 A.D. Gomatam Srinivasa Josyer is seen to have enjoyed these honours only during that year [illegible] in other years either subsequent or prior to 1831, as no references to that effect are

The Jamakarch Patti of 1831 under reference may be taken as genuine is stated that Jamakarch Karadu is maintained chronologically and bears gal of the temple office and is old.

he connected records accompany.

"COPY"

M. N. Ramachar

For Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 2)0

Mey 95 36

Dated, Bangalore, 18th August 1937.

ENDORSEMENT.

Mr. [illegible] R.[illegible], [illegible]
MYSORE.

With reference to his petition dated 3rd July 1936 and subsequent petitions appealing against the orders of the Huzrai Commissioner, in the matter of certain Maryades claimed by him during Krishnarajamudi festival at Melkote, Mr. Josyer is informed that Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Huzrai Commissioner in the matter. His appeal is rejected.

By order,

Sd/- [illegible] Venkatesa Iyengar.
General Secretary to Govt.

[illegible]

18-8-37

8 ...o No. 271/Mg Line on to file

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with the connected records received with his letter No.H.C.S 36-37 dated 4th June 1937 on the subject.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Govt.

FG—17

40

ECT.....

4 C Offs

Section Misc

File No.

Serial No. 6.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1206A

No. 270

To Mr. G. R. Joseph M.A. & B.E.S., F.M.U., Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 18-8-37

Signed by N. S. K. Venkataramana Iyer

With refce to his petn 3.7.36 and subsequent petitions ~~28/5/37~~ appealing against the order of the M.C. in the matter of certain marigods claimed him during Krishnarajmudi festival at Melkote, Mr. G. R. Joseph is informed that Govt see no reason to interfere with the order of the M.C. in the matter. His appeal ~~for~~ is rejected.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by 18 AUG 1937

Examined by .....

Items no 271 are one to file.

18/8/37

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20 7-31

Ce 18/8

A copy forwarded with ~~Copy to~~ M.C. with ~~the~~ ~~he has~~ commended

received with his letter No HC 2/36-37

of 4.6.37 on the subject.

S.O

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
17/5